Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/521,240	SULZER, HANS-DIETRICH
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric	3744
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric</u> .	(3)	
(2) John W. Fitzpatrick, Reg. No. 41,018.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>24 November 2009</u>	Time: <u>17:45</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: n/a		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner Ciric telephoned Attorney Fitzpatrick to discuss the proposed supplemental examiner's amendment (copy attached) which will delete refrences to the claims on pages 1 and 5 of the specification. Attorney Fitzpatrick agreed with the proposed examiner's amendment.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)